

Appendix B

Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement

**MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT
AMONG
THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION,
METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTHORITY, AND
THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HISTORIC RESOURCES,
REGARDING THE
NEW RUNWAYS, TERMINAL FACILITIES AND RELATED FACILITIES AT
WASHINGTON DULLES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority (Authority) is a public body corporate and politic created by interstate compact by the Commonwealth of Virginia and the District of Columbia, as authorized by the U.S. Congress in the Washington Airports Transfer Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-591); and

WHEREAS, the Authority, which exists independently of all other bodies, including the United States Government, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the District of Columbia, has been given the full responsibility for the operation, maintenance, protection, promotion, and development of Washington Dulles International Airport (Dulles Airport) and Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport (National Airport), including responsibility for financing and making capital improvements at both airports; and

WHEREAS, although title to the National Airport and Dulles Airport real estate transferred by the United States Government to the Authority remains in the United States Government, the Washington Airports Transfer Act of 1986 states that National Airport, Dulles Airport and the Authority are not subject to the requirements of any law, including but not limited to the provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.), solely by reason of the retention of the United States Government of fee simple title to those airports (49 U.S.C. §49111[b]); and

WHEREAS, real estate interests acquired by the Authority subsequent to the transfer of the Airports were acquired by the Authority and title thereto is held by the Authority, not the United States Government;

WHEREAS, the Authority is proposing construction of a new parallel north-south runway to the west of the airport, approximately 9,473 feet long by 150 feet wide, and a new parallel east-west runway to the south of the airport, approximately 10,500 feet long by 150 feet wide. The property on which these runways will be built consists partly of property transferred to the Authority by the United States Government, and partly of property purchased by the Authority. The proposed project also includes associated taxiways, navigational aids, and construction of a Tier 3 Concourse in accordance with the FAA's 1985 Master Plan Study for Washington Dulles International Airport and the Authority's 2004 updated Airport Layout Plan, also known as the "Project;" and

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is the responsible Federal agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 USC §4321 et. seq.) and is responsible for compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA, 16 USC 470f); and

WHEREAS, the *Final Environmental Impact Statement for New Runways, Terminal Facilities, and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport* (issued August 2005) (FEIS) and supporting technical reports provide background information for this Memorandum of Agreement (MOA); and

WHEREAS, the Authority, the Virginia State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) are parties to a 1987 Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement (PMOA) executed in connection with the FAA's transfer of Ronald Reagan Washington National and Washington Dulles International Airports from the FAA to the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the PMOA governs the handling of undertakings at the airports that may have an effect on properties eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) and provides that such projects will be handled in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800 with respect to review by the SHPO and the Council; and

WHEREAS, the FAA has consulted on the Project with the SHPO, pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800, *Protection of Historic Properties*, regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 USC 470f) and the 1987 PMOA; and

WHEREAS, the FAA and the Authority, in consultation with the SHPO, have determined the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the Project, as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(d), and as shown in Figures 4.1 and 4.2 of the August 2005 Preliminary Final Historic Resources Survey and Effects Assessment Report for the Project, which is included within Volume 2, Appendix C-2, of the FEIS, have completed Phase I investigations of archeological resources, Phase II National Register evaluation studies of archaeological resources, as well as identification surveys and National Register evaluations of above-ground historic resources, to meet their responsibilities under 36 CFR Part 800 associated with the development of the FEIS; and

WHEREAS, the FAA and the Authority have determined, in consultation with the SHPO, that the Project may have an effect on the Dulles Airport Historic District, which has been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register); and

WHEREAS, the FAA and the Authority have determined, in consultation with the SHPO, that the Project will have an adverse effect on one or more archeological resources, including site 44FX2840, that are eligible for inclusion in the National Register under Criterion D, as a result of activities related to implementation of the Project, including, but not limited to, construction staging, ground disturbance, and construction; and

WHEREAS, the Council has been provided an opportunity to comment on this MOA, and in a July 19, 2005 letter, has declined to participate in ongoing Section 106 consultation or as a signatory of this MOA; and

WHEREAS, Loudoun County, Virginia has formally requested to serve as a consulting party to this MOA pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(3), but because Loudoun County does not have legal authority or jurisdiction over the Authority's activities at the airport, the FAA and the Authority have determined that Loudoun County will be provided with the opportunity to sign this MOA as a concurring party, and the FAA and the Authority shall share historic property information that will be generated as a result of Phase I, II, and II studies that will be produced in support of the Project; and

WHEREAS, although Fairfax County, Virginia has not formally requested to serve as a party to this MOA pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(3), and does not have legal authority or jurisdiction over the Authority's activities at the airport, the FAA and the Authority have determined that the Fairfax County will be provided with the opportunity to sign this MOA as a concurring party, and the FAA and the Authority shall share historic property information that will be generated as the result of Phase I, II, and III studies produced in support of the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(5), the Virginia Council on Indians (VCI), having a demonstrated interest in the preservation, study, and dissemination of information regarding the cultural heritage of Indians within the Commonwealth of Virginia, has participated in consultation, and has also been invited to concur in this MOA; and

WHEREAS, to the best of the FAA and the Authority's knowledge and belief, no human remains, associated or unassociated funerary objects or sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony as defined in the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001), are expected to be encountered in the Phase III archaeological work; and

WHEREAS, the FAA has informed and involved the public in Section 106 review through public NEPA scoping meetings held in June 2002, public information meetings on the Project and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement held on October 7 and 8, 2003, April 23 and 24, 2004, and February 22 and 23, 2005, and through additional informal meetings and outreach materials, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d), and has specifically invited comments on the Section 106 process; and

NOW, THEREFORE the FAA and the SHPO agree that the Project will be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations in order to take into account the effect of the Project on historic properties.

Stipulations

The FAA shall ensure that the following measures are carried out:

I. Design Review

- A. All design elements related to the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements at Dulles shall conform to the existing Dulles Airport architectural design guidelines, which are included in this MOA as Appendix 1, and the current airport Master Plan, which includes general planning guidelines taken from the original 1964 Saarinen Master Plan.
- B. The design of the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements shall take into account the historic and architectural qualities of the original Dulles International Airport Historic District and incorporate the recommended approaches to new construction set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- C. The Authority shall submit to the SHPO the preliminary design plans for the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements to request its concurrence that the design of the proposed building is sensitive to the historic architectural character of the Dulles Airport Historic District. The Authority shall concurrently notify the other consulting and concurring parties of this transmittal to the SHPO.

The Authority shall further ensure that the Project Architect shall submit to the SHPO for its review and comment complete project plans and specifications for the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements including its exterior elements and all site improvements surrounding the building. The Architect shall submit such plans to the SHPO at the completion of the 30%, 60%, and 90% design development levels. For each submission, the Authority shall notify the other consulting and concurring parties of this transmittal to the SHPO.

II. Dulles Airport Historic District

- A. During the final design phase, the Authority shall consult with the SHPO to assess the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements design's effects on the Dulles Airport Historic District. The documentation submitted to the SHPO shall include, but is not limited to, the completion of a viewshed analysis in order to study the potential visual impacts of the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements on the main concourse of the Main Terminal and the South Finger.

- B If the Authority, in consultation with the SHPO, agree that the Tier 3 Concourse Improvements design will have an adverse visual effect on the historic district, the Authority shall develop and implement a treatment plan, in accordance with the appropriate standards and guidelines listed in section V.B. of this MOA, to avoid, minimize, or mitigate visual impacts. The treatment plan shall be approved by the SHPO prior to implementation.
- C. If the Authority and the SHPO disagree over the effect of the improvements on the historic district, or over the contents of the Treatment Plan, the parties will follow the dispute resolution process outlined in Stipulation VIII. of this MOA.

III. Archaeology

A. Phase II Site Evaluation

1. FAA and the Authority have completed Phase II Site Evaluation Studies for the following sites, all of which were jointly recommended for further evaluation by FAA, the Authority, and SHPO in 2004:
 - a) North-South Runway: 44LD538, 44LD539, 44LD543, 44LD1029, 44LD1034, 44LD1037, 44LD1041, and 44LD1042.
 - b) Crosswind Runway: 44LD1077, 44LD1081, 44FX2540, 44FX2541, and 44FX2839.
2. Following the completion of the Phase II investigations, each site listed in Stipulation III.A.1. of this MOA was evaluated for National Register eligibility using the criteria outlined in National Register Bulletin 15, Guidelines for Applying the National Register Criteria for Evaluation, published by the National Park Service. Evaluation efforts were conducted in a manner consistent with the standards and guidelines listed in Stipulation VI.B. of this MOA.
3. The FAA and the Authority provided the SHPO with an opportunity to comment, review, and approve the Phase II reports. The SHPO concurred that site 44FX2840, as well as sites 44LD538 (Historic Component), 44LD539 (Historic Component), and 44LD1042 (Historic Component) are eligible for listing in the National Register. The SHPO determined that the Prehistoric Component of site 44LD539 was ineligible for listing in the National Register.
4. Upon determining if a site is eligible for the National Register, FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, after considering the views of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and VCI, shall jointly determine if an eligible

site adversely affected by the Project will be preserved in place or will require Phase III Data Recovery. **The Authority shall provide the SHPO with plans and other supporting documentation to assist the SHPO in assessing effects on eligible archaeological sites.**

B. Treatment of Archaeological Sites

1. If an archaeological site that meets the criteria for inclusion in the National Register will be adversely affected by the Project, the Authority shall develop a plan, in consultation with the SHPO and other concurring parties, to reduce, avoid, or mitigate the Project's effects on the archaeological site. Treatment plans shall:
 - a) Wherever practicable, provide for the preservation of archaeological sites in place and include provisions for long-term management;
 - b) Where necessary to preserve such sites, provide for management actions including physical stabilization, planting, and fencing;
 - c) Where physical disturbance is unavoidable, set forth provisions for archaeological data recovery including at least the following elements:
 1. Information on each archaeological site or group of related sites where data recovery is to be carried out;
 2. Identification of research questions to be addressed through data recovery, including research questions that are important to the Virginia Indian community, with explanation and justification of their relevance and importance;
 3. Where data recovery is proposed, description of methods to be used, with explanations of their pertinence to research questions or other rationale for their employment;
 4. Detailed arrangements for keeping the SHPO and other concurring parties fully informed of, and in the case of prehistoric sites, providing the VCI and any relevant tribes full opportunity to monitor or take part in all operations;
 5. Arrangements for regular progress reports to keep the SHPO and other concurring parties up to date on the work;

6. A proposed timetable for testing, excavation, analysis, and preparation of technical reports and other publications; and
 7. Where human remains may be unearthed, the information and assurances required by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources for issuance of a permit under Virginia Code 10.1-2305(A), and assurances that any such remains will be treated with dignity and respect.
2. The Authority shall provide each Treatment Plan to the SHPO, the FAA, and other concurring parties for review and comment. The plan shall be approved by the SHPO prior to implementation. The Treatment Plan shall include information pertaining to:
 - a) the disposition of recovered materials and records; and
 - b) proposed methods for involving the interested public in the data recovery process, as well as methods for disseminating the results of the work to the interested public.
 3. The Authority shall ensure that the Treatment Plan is implemented and that all Data Recovery activities are concluded, with concurrence received from the SHPO, before the site is disturbed by construction activities.

C. In-Situ Preservation

1. The Authority shall ensure that any site recommended for In-Situ Preservation through the steps outlined in Stipulation III.A. of this MOA shall remain undisturbed during construction activities. Semi-permanent metal fencing (e.g., chain-link fencing) shall be placed around the perimeter of sites during construction activities to help ensure that the area remains undisturbed.
2. The site shall be monitored during adjacent construction activities and shall be included in progress reports as outlined in Stipulation VII.B.

IV. Post-Review Discoveries

A. Historic Properties

In the event that unanticipated effects on historic properties are found during the implementation of this MOA, the Authority will stop work that may adversely affect the historic property or may foreclose opportunities to avoid such adverse effects. The Authority shall consult with the SHPO, with the FAA, and with other concurring parties as appropriate, to

determine the appropriate course of action to comply with Section 106. If necessary, the Authority, SHPO, the FAA, and other concurring parties, shall review the terms of this MOA and determine whether revisions are needed. Any revisions to the Agreement shall be made in accordance with Stipulation XI. below.

B. Archaeological Resources

1. In the event that a previously unidentified archaeological resource is discovered during ground disturbing activities, the Authority will immediately halt all construction work involving ground disturbance in the immediate area of the resource and in the surrounding area where further subsurface resources may reasonably be expected to occur. An archaeologist meeting the *Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards* (CFR Part 61) will immediately inspect the work site and determine the extent and nature of the affected archaeological property. The archaeologist may consult with the SHPO and other parties as deemed appropriate by the archaeologist in setting the boundaries of the archaeological resource. Construction work may then proceed in the Project area outside of the defined archaeological site area.

In accordance with 36 CFR 800.13(b), the identification of unanticipated finds during the implementation of the Project does not require the Authority to stop work on the overall Project, but to make reasonable efforts to avoid or minimize harm to the resource until the requirements of 36 CFR 800.13 are met.

2. Within two (2) working days of the discovery, the Authority shall notify the SHPO. The notification shall describe the Authority's assessment of the National Register eligibility of the property and proposed actions to resolve the adverse effect, if any. The SHPO shall respond within two (2) working days of notification and construction may resume when the SHPO agrees. The Authority shall take into account the SHPO's recommendations regarding National Register eligibility and proposed actions, and then carry out the appropriate actions. The Authority shall provide the SHPO with a report of these actions once they are complete.
3. If the archaeological resource is determined as eligible for listing in the National Register (36 CFR Part 60.6), the Authority shall ensure compliance with Section 800.13 of the Council's regulations.
4. Any disputes over the evaluation or treatment of unanticipated finds will be resolved as provided in Stipulation VIII of this MOA.

V. Human Remains

The Authority shall ensure that human skeletal remains and associated funerary objects encountered during the course of actions taken as a result of this agreement shall be treated in accordance with the Regulations Governing Permits for the Archaeological Removal of Human Remains (Virginia Register 390-01-02) found in the Code of Virginia (10.1-2305, et seq., Virginia Antiquities Act). If necessary, the applicant will obtain a permit from the SHPO for the removal of human remains in accordance with the regulations stated above.

VI. Administration

A. Review Period

1. The SHPO, and all other consulting and concurring parties, shall provide comments on documentation submitted pursuant to this MOA within thirty (30) calendar days, unless the review period is otherwise specified in the stipulations above. If no comments are received from the SHPO within the 30 day comment period, the Authority may assume concurrence and proceed on the basis of its conclusions or recommendations, if any.

B. Professional Qualifications

1. All archaeological work carried out pursuant to this MOA shall be conducted by, or under the direct supervision of, an individual or individuals who meet, at minimum, the qualifications for archaeology set forth in The Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716, Sept. 1983), hereinafter referred to as the "Secretary's Standards".
2. All evaluations of buildings and structures will be carried out by, or under the direct supervision of, an individual or individuals who meet, at a minimum, the qualifications for architectural history set forth in the Secretary's Standards. All design work on historic buildings and structures shall be carried out by, or under the supervision of an individual or individuals meeting the qualifications for historic architecture as set forth in the Secretary's Standards.

C. Standards and Guidelines

The Authority shall ensure that all cultural resource work carried out pursuant to this Agreement shall be carried out in accordance with the following standards and guidelines, as applicable:

1. *Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979*, as amended (16 USC 470aa-470ll);
2. *Curation of Federally-Owned and Administered Archeological Collections* (36 CFR Part 79);
3. *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966*, as amended (16 USC 470 et seq.);
4. *Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990* (25 USC 3001 et seq.);
5. *Protection of Historic Properties* (36 CFR Part 800);
6. Advisory Council on Historic Preservation: *Recommended Approach for Consultation and Recovery of Significant Information from Archaeological Sites* (1999);
7. National Park Service: National Register Bulletin 15- *Guidelines for Applying the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*;
8. National Park Service: National Park Service Guideline No. 28- *Cultural Resource Management Guideline*;
9. The Secretary of the Interior: *Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation* (1983) (48 FR 44716-44742);
10. The Secretary of the Interior: *Standards and Guidelines for Curation* (36 CFR Part 79);
11. The Secretary of the Interior: *Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties* (36 CFR Part 68);
12. The Secretary of the Interior: *Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings* (36 CFR Part 68);
13. Virginia Department of Historic Resources: *Guidelines for Conducting Cultural Resource Survey in Virginia*, revised (2003); and
14. Virginia Department of Historic Resources: *State Curation Standards*.

D. Curation

The Authority shall ensure that all archeological materials resulting from actions carried out under this Agreement, including appropriate field and research notes, maps, drawing and photographic records, with the exception of human skeletal remains and associated funerary objects, are curated in accordance with 36 CFR Part 79 and the SHPO's *State Curation Standards*. All materials will be cared for in a repository approved by the SHPO and will be made available to educational institutions and individual scholars for appropriate exhibit and/or research under the operating policies of the selected repository.

E. Distribution of Reports and Review Within Specified Timeframes

The Authority shall provide copies of all draft technical reports prepared under this MOA for comment to all consulting and concurring parties unless they have advised in writing that they need not receive copies. The Authority shall ensure that draft reports are modified to respond to comments, subject to Stipulation VII. (Dispute Resolution). Failure of a recipient to comment within the specified review period shall not preclude the Authority from finalizing the report and proceeding on the basis of its conclusions and recommendations, if any.

The Authority shall prepare sufficient copies of all final reports completed pursuant to this MOA and provide them to the SHPO, the consulting and concurring parties, and as appropriate, to public libraries, educational institutions, and other repositories. All draft and final reports submitted to the SHPO shall be in two (2) copies, in spiral binding, and on acid-free paper.

VII. Monitoring and Reporting

- A. Upon request, the SHPO, Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and VCI may review any activities carried out pursuant to this Agreement. The Authority shall cooperate with the requesting agency or unit of government to review project files or visit the project site to view activities at specific project locations.
- B. The Authority shall prepare an annual report summarizing the activities carried out in accordance with this MOA. This report shall be transmitted to the SHPO and other consulting and concurring parties by February 1st of each year this Agreement is in effect, beginning in year following the execution of this MOA and continuing until the year following completion of construction activities associated with the Project. The Authority shall also ensure that this annual report is made available for public review and that members of the public who are invited to provide comments to the SHPO and other consulting and concurring parties.

The SHPO and other consulting and concurring parties shall review the annual report and provide any comments to the Authority. Based on this review, the Authority shall determine whether this MOA shall continue in force, be amended, or be terminated. If requested by any required or concurring signatory to this MOA, the Authority shall ensure that a meeting is held to facilitate review and comment, to resolve questions, or to resolve adverse comments.

VIII. Dispute Resolution

- A. Should any signatory object in writing regarding any action specified in the MOA, then the Authority shall consult with the objecting party to resolve this objection. If after such consultation, the Authority determines that the objection cannot be resolved through consultation, then the Authority shall prepare documentation relevant to the objection in accordance with 36 CFR 800.11, and the Authority shall forward such documentation to the Council, including the Authority's proposed response to the objection. Within 30 days after receipt of pertinent documentation, the Council is expected to exercise one of the following options:
1. Provide the Authority with a staff-level recommendation, which the Authority shall take into account in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection; or
 2. Notify the Authority that the objection will be referred for formal comment pursuant to 36 CFR 800.7(c), and proceed to refer the objection and comment. The Authority shall take into account the Council's comments in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection.
- B. The responsibility of the Authority to carry out all actions under the MOA not affected by the dispute shall remain unchanged.
- C. If the dispute cannot be resolved upon involvement of the Council, the Authority, the FAA, or the SHPO may terminate the MOA in accordance with Stipulation XII. below.

IX. Review of Public Objections

- A. At any time during implementation of the measures stipulated in this Agreement, should any objection to any such measure or its manner of implementation be raised by a member of the public, the Authority shall take the objection into account, notify the SHPO and other consulting and concurring parties, and consult as needed with the objecting party, and with the other consulting and concurring parties, to resolve the objection. If the objection cannot be resolved, the Authority shall follow the steps outlined in Stipulation VIII.A. above to obtain Council comment.

X. Record Keeping

- A. The Authority shall maintain records of all activities undertaken pursuant to this Agreement which shall become part of the Environmental Review Record for the project including:
1. All records related to the selection of Professionals who perform the work stipulated in the provisions of this MOA, which clearly documents adherence to the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48 FR 44716, Sept. 1983);
 2. All records of correspondence and finding letters provided by the SHPO to the FAA and the Authority;
 3. All records indicating all mitigation measures taken in accordance with the provisions of this MOA;
 4. All records related to consultations with the SHPO and other consulting and concurring parties following the execution of this MOA;
 5. All records of public comments received during public hearings and written or telephonic comments received from the public at all other times;
 6. All of the above records shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years after completion of the project and shall be made available to the general public and additional parties with a demonstrated interest in the undertaking upon request during this time frame.

XI. Amendments

- A. Any party to this Agreement may request that it be amended or modified, whereupon FAA, the Authority, the SHPO, Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and VCI shall consult in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800.13 to consider such revisions.
- B. Any resulting amendments or addenda shall be in writing, developed and executed among the Authority, the SHPO, and other consulting and concurring parties, in the same manner as the original MOA.

XII. Termination

- A. The Authority, the FAA, or the SHPO may terminate the Agreement by providing thirty (30) days notice to the other parties and in accordance with the procedures described in 36 CFR 800.6(c)(8), provided that the parties will consult during the period prior to termination to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination.

- B. Termination shall include the submission of a technical report by the Authority on any work done up to and including the date of termination.

XIII. Failure to Comply

- A. In the event that the Authority does not carry out the terms of this MOA, FAA and the Authority will comply with 36 CFR Parts 800.4 through 800.6 with regard to individual undertakings covered by this MOA.

XIV. Duration of Agreement

- A. The provisions of this MOA will be carried out from the date of execution of this Agreement through completion of the results and reporting phase of all archaeological investigations related to the Project, all construction aspects of the Project, or December 31, 2015, whichever occurs first.
- B. At any time during the six-month period prior to the project completion date, the Authority may request the signatory consulting parties to consider an extension or modification of this MOA. No extension or modification will be effective unless all signatory consulting parties to the MOA have agreed with it in writing.

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that the FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

SIGNATORY:

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

for Joseph B. Debia
Terry Page

10/1/2005
Date

Manager, Washington Airports District Office

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

SIGNATORY:

METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTHORITY



James E. Bennett

10/3/05
Date

President and Chief Executive Officer

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

SIGNATORY:

VIRGINIA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER



Kathleen S. Kilpatrick




Date

State Historic Preservation Officer and Director,
Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

CONCURRING PARTY:

LOUDOUN COUNTY, VIRGINIA



Kirby Bowers


County Administrator

Date 10/5/05

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

CONCURRING PARTY:

FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA



Anthony H. Griffin

10/6/05
Date

County Executive

Execution of this Agreement by FAA, the Authority, and the SHPO, with concurrence of Loudoun County, Fairfax County, and the Virginia Council on Indians, and implementation of its terms by the Authority, is evidence that FAA has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the proposed New Runways, Terminal Facilities and Related Facilities at Washington Dulles International Airport Project in Chantilly, Virginia, and that FAA has taken into account the effects of the proposed project on historic properties.

CONCURRING PARTY:

VIRGINIA COUNCIL ON INDIANS



Deanna Beacham

10/7/05
Date

Program Specialist

Appendix 1

Dulles Airport Architectural Design Guidelines

*Because of the size of this document,
please see <http://www.mwaa.com/authority/dm/index.htm>
for the most recent copy of this set of MWAA guidelines.*